Language in Performance 38

Edited by Werner Hüllen† and Rainer Schulze

Advisory Board:

Thomas Herbst (Erlangen), Andreas Jucker (Zürich), Manfred Krug (Bamberg), Christian Mair (Freiburg i. Br.), Ute Römer (Hannover), Andrea Sand (Trier), Hans-Jörg Schmid (München), Josef Schmied (Chemnitz) and Edgar W. Schneider (Regensburg) Eva Lavric / Gerhard Pisek Andrew Skinner / Wolfgang Stadler (eds.)

The Linguistics of Football



- Holtz-Bacha, Christina (ed.) (2006): Fußball-Fernsehen-Politik. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften
- Knobbe, Thorsten (2000): Spektakel Spitzensport. Der Moloch aus Stars, Rekorden, Doping, Medienwahn, Sponsorenmacht. Münster: LIT
 - Koch, Peter / Oesterreicher, Wulf (1990): Gesprochene Sprache in der Romania: Französisch, Italienisch, Spanisch (Romanistische Arbeitshefte 31). Tübingen: Niemeyer
- Müller, Jochen (2004): Von Kampfmaschinen und Ballkünstlern. Fremdwahrnehmung und Sportberichterstattung im deutsch-französischen Kontext. Eine Presse- und Fernsehanalyse. St. Ingbert: Röhrig Universitätsverlag
- Neugebauer, Eva (1986): Mitspielen beim Zuschauen: Analyse zeitgleicher Sportberichterstattung des Fernsehens. Frankfurt am Main et al.: Peter Lang
- Palacios, Azucena (1999): Aspectos lingüísticos de la prensa deportiva: la crónica futbolística. In: Garrido Medina, 350–361
- Roters, Gunnar / Klingler, Walter / Gerhards, Maria (eds.) (2001): Sport und Sportrezeption. Baden-Baden: Nomos
- ⊘ W.x Rowe, David (²2004): Sport, culture and the media: the unruly trinity. Maidenhead: Open University Press
- Schaefer, Jürgen (1989): Sprachliche Strukturen in Texten der Sportberichterstattung. PhD Thesis, Ruhr-Universität Bochum
 - Schmidt, Thomas (2004): Transcribing and annotating spoken language with EXMARaLDA In:

 Proceedings of the LREC-Workshop on XML based richly annotated corpora, Lisbon 2004.

 Paris: ELRA, http://www1.uni-hamburg.de/exmaralda/Daten/4D-Literatur/Paper_LREC.pdf, 29/09/2006
- Trouvain, Jürgen / Barry, William J. (2000): The prosody of excitement in horse race commentaries. http://www.coli.uni-saarland.de~trouvain/trouvain barry 2000.pdf, 15/08/2006
 - Trouvain, Jürgen (2004): Tempo variation in speech production. Implications for speech synthesis. PhD
 Thesis, Universität des Saarlandes
- Wehrle, Thomas (2001): Sportjournalismus und Moral oder: Dichtung und Wahrheit in der ballorientierten Unterhaltungsindustrie des 21. Jahrhunderts. In: Roters et al., 203–210
- Wenner, Lawrence (ed.) (1989): Media, sports, and society. Newbury Park et al.: Sage Publications
 - Wernecken, Jens / Bacher, Joachim (2006): Willkommen in Deutschland... Zur Integrationsfunktion der Fußballweltmeisterschaft 2006. In: Holtz-Bacha, 214–238
 - Wodak, Ruth / de Cillia, Rudolf / Reisigl, Martin / Liebhart, Karin / Hofstätter, Klaus / Kargl, Maria (1998): Zur diskursiven Konstruktion nationaler Identität. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp

Web sites:

Web site FIFA World Cup 2006: http://fifaworldcup.yahoo.com/06/de/index.html, 14/09/2006

Kerstin Jung Romanisches Seminar Universität Mannheim Gebäude L15,16 D-68131 Mannheim e-mail: kerstinjung@gmx.de

EVA LAVRIC, GERHARD PISEK, WOLFGANG STADLER, ANDREW SKINNER AND ERIKA GIORGIANNI (THE INNSBRUCK FOOTBALL RESEARCH GROUP)

"ZIDANE, ZIDANE, WHAT HAVE YOU DONE?" _ EMOTIONS ON TV IN SIX LANGUAGES

This article analyses the expression of emotions in television sports commentary in six languages (German, English, French, Spanish, Italian, and Russian), as illustrated by the famous 'Zidane incident', i.e. the foul by Zinédine Zidane against Marco Materazzi, which led to Zidane's sending off in the 109th minute of the 2006 World Cup final between France and Italy. The transcription starts with the moment of uncertainty, when the only thing that is clear is that something has happened, and it ends with the comments on Zidane's sending off and the end of his career, where, ex post, a tragic moment is constructed discursively. The phases in the seven versions (there are two German-language versions, one from Austria and one from Germany) are quite similar; this is due to the similarity of the television images, with two emotional peaks corresponding to the first images of the actual foul and to the presentation of the red card. In fact, not only the phases of the event, but also the means of expressing emotions are surprisingly similar in all seven versions, and (nearly) all of them are represented in the fictitious quotation in our title, "Zidane, Zidane, what have you done?": direct address to Zidane, repetitions, rhetorical questions, exclamations/interjections. The more emotions rise, the more all these means cumulate in each single utterance. At the climax, there is one more means that is added: pauses. This is the only element that marks a real difference between the seven versions, as it is much more strongly represented in the French one. Faced with the unthinkable becoming reality, the French commentators simply remain speechless - witness their even stronger emotional involvement in comparison with the others. Apart from this, there seems to be something of a common kind of 'emotionese' in European football/sports commentary.

How are emotions expressed in football commentaries in different languages and cultures? Is there a gradation in the expression of emotion? And is this dependent on the partiality of the commentator(s)? These questions will be addressed in this article, drawing on the example of the 'Zidane incident', i.e. the foul by Zinédine Zidane against Marco Materazzi, which led to Zidane's sending off in the 109th minute of the 2006 World Cup final between France and Italy.

Zidane's head-butt (in French 'coup de boule') against Materazzi was certainly the most salient and emotive incident of the whole World Cup, giving rise, for example, to endless comments, web games, satirical programmes and even to a song that stayed in the charts for weeks.

To readers who are not familiar with football or who might read this years later when the incident might have been forgotten, it must be explained that Zinédine Zidane was a legendary football star who had already won the World Cup with the French team in 1998 and was, arguably, about to win it again. He had announced that he would retire immediately after the competition. So this World Cup final was his very last game and, when the incident occurred, the game was already in extra time. Zidanes's foul against Materazzi and the subsequent sending off were decisive insofar as the game

Without knowing beforehand, of course, that such a salient episode would occur, we (i.e. the Innsbruck Football Research Group), wishing to study football commentaries in different cultures, recorded the World Cup final on TV in seven versions and six languages: German (two versions, one from Austria, one from Germany), English, French, Italian, Spanish, and Russian. We then transcribed the passage corresponding to the famous 'Zidane incident', its preliminaries and consequences (i.e. minutes 108 to 111)² and arranged the seven transcriptions in a parallel table (see appendix).

What we wanted to study initially was the cross-cultural differences and similarities in football commentaries on TV, together with the aspect of involvement or bias due to the nationality of the commentator (comparing the Italian and French versions with the other ones). What we discovered, though, is an unexpectedly high number of similarities between the seven versions. In fact, the differences due to the number of co-commentators are more striking than the differences due to nationality or partiality on the part of the commentator.

The table in the appendix shows that the German and the Austrian versions have only one commentator each, while the English and the Russian versions have two commentators and the Spanish, the Italian and the French versions even three commentators each. This is a significant difference and the most salient aspect of national style we found in our corpus. It has a direct influence on the rhythm of the commentary, as for instance one single commentator is more likely to make pauses in what might otherwise be too pushy a monologue, while with two or three persons the commentary is more like a conversation, all gaps of one commentator tending to be filled by the other participant(s). Furthermore, it is a question of the general policy of broadcast stations, as for example in Austria commentators are advised not to speak all the time. So the balance in the German and Austrian commentaties is between speech and silence, whereas in all other versions it is between the different commentators.

As for the question of national bias, what is striking in the different versions is the fact that none of the commentators, not even the Italian one, takes a hostile stance towards Zidane. This might be due to the fact that Zidane played in Italy for a number of years. Nor do the French speakers try to defend him. The commentators all condemn Zidane's behaviour but still pay tribute to him as a great player (see appendix).

went into a penalty shoot-out (where Zidane could have made all the difference), and was finally won by Italy.

Thanks to Catherine Lederbauer, Alfonso Merello-Astigarraga and Angelo Pagliardini for helping with the transcription.

This seems to be the reason why some versions are shorter than the others, especially the Austrian and the German ones, where there is only one commentator. Two- or three-commentator versions are in general longer – but the French one isn't: we shall see below why this is the case.

These details are the result of a discussion we had with Italian, French and Austrian TV commentators (Marco Civoli, Erik Bielderman, Thomas König) on the occasion of a presentation of our results to a wider public, in Vienna and Innsbruck on 17 and 18 April, 2008. We are grateful to our guests for their helpful comments.

It is important to distinguish this type of silence in the German and Austrian version from the type of silence that will be commented upon later in this article, which is due to speechlessness as a result of emotion.

Which answers also one more interesting question about the infamous incident: in which language did the verbal exchange between Materazzi and Zidane (and hence Materazzi's alleged insult of Zidane's sister) take place? It must have been Italian, which would be the common language between the two players. For the question of language choices in multilingual football teams, see also Giera et al. in this volume.

The high degree of similarity we found in the different commentaries is true of all seven versions, including the Italian one; the only exception is the French version, which shows the same characteristics as the other ones, but in a much stronger, more pronounced way.

Actually, this high degree of similarity might be due, on the one hand, to the nature of the commentary guided by TV images and, on the other hand, to the incident itself, which produced a strong emotional impact combined with a certain degree of reflection.

First, we found that the phases of the incident were parallel in the seven versions because the TV images were identical for all of them. In general, it seems that all the commentators, in spite of being in the stadium, watch the match on their TV screens, as all comments are in line with the TV images. This is important because the incident took place outside the main action of the game, and was not picked up by the main camera. The incident itself was therefore neither seen by the commentators nor by the referee, who had to resort to consulting a linesman.

- The first phase is marked by confusion and uncertainty: something seems to have happened, but the commentators cannot say what. This is shown linguistically in all versions by a large number of indefinites and questions (in *italics* in the transcription).
- The next phase is the incident itself, which will be analysed in more detail below.
 As for its different phases, it has two climaxes: the first one is the presentation of the incident on video, the second one the showing of the red card and Zidane being sent off.
- After that comes a phase where this incident is reflected on in a larger context, as it obviously means the end of Zidane's career. The commentators look back briefly on the player's background and history and give general evaluations of Zidane as a legendary footballer, as opposed to his momentary lapse and inglorious end. From this contrast emerges the idea of a tragic moment, construed as such by all commentators. The linguistic means involved include all types of antithetical expressions, like concessive clauses, and especially antonyms (often in parallel constructions). They are marked in yellow in our transcription (see appendix).

It is interesting to observe, by the way, how Zidane is referred to by the commentators throughout the different phases of the incident. The neutral, unmarked designation seems to be *Zidane*, while especially in the French version we find the nickname *Zizou* (until the incident), and later, at the climax of emotions, the direct address to *Zinédine*. By contrast, when looking

In fact, there is a little divergence in the German version, where the slow-motion replay of the incident is played twice rather than only once, as in the other versions.

Where there is a team of commentators, it seems that one of them might be in charge of watching the game directly, or at least it seems so from a remark in the Spanish version. This is also what reported Marco Civoli reported, who told us that in Italy two of the commentators normally sit in the special commentators' area, while the third one stands 'next to the field'.

It was the so-called 'fourth man', Luis Medina Cantalejo, who played the decisive role as he had witnessed the incident with his own eyes and was thus able to report it to the referee, Horacio Elizondo. Had the incident only been seen on video, as seemed to be the case at first, it would have been illegal to sanction it, according to FIFA regulations.

back at his career, all commentators refer to him by using his full name, *Zinédine Zidane*. This may be out of a sense of respect for the great player.

As for reflections and rationalizations of emotions and the construction of a tragic moment, here again we find strong similarities in all seven versions, with the only difference being that the general reflections start a little earlier in some versions and later in others – which seems to be related to the involvement and the bias of the commentator (i.e. they come later in the French version).¹⁰

In fact, the idea of the tragic moment is not the only point of reflection. There are also comments of other types in the course of the incident, which are all highlighted in yellow in the transcription. These other themes of reflection are also fairly similar in the seven versions, and they concern:

- the legal or rule-related problem, as the incident had not been seen by the referee and it seemed that it would be judged on the basis of the video recording alone (the 'technology' problem), a point referred to in all versions. For whatever reason, this point receives particular attention in the Italian commentary;
- the question of whether the referee's red card can or cannot be contested (the 'contestation' problem); present in several versions but particularly strong in the French one;
- comparisons with and memories of past incidents of a similar type in Zidane's career, but also in the career of the referee;
- comments on the French trainer (triggered by footage that shows him applauding sarcastically when Zidane is given the red card);
- questions about what the incident and its outcome might mean for the continuation of the game and for the World Cup as a whole.

All these themes can be found in almost all of the seven versions. They mark moments where the immediate expression of emotions is replaced by a more reflective, more distanced stance. The similarity of the themes touched on and the ways they are commented on seems to suggest that there might exist something like a common European style of football discourse, where the do's and don'ts of commentary are fairly clear and even similar.

But let us now turn to the incident itself and the way it is commented on. What is striking in all seven versions is the expression of emotions through a series of linguistic means that can be found in a similar way in all languages and that tend to be cumulative.

Emotionality / affectivity in interaction has received comparatively little attention in language and discourse studies until now. For bibliographical reference on this topic, we can cite Fiehler 1990, Marten-Cleef 1991, Niemeyer / Dirven 1996, Battachi / Suslov / Renna 1997, Günthner 2000 and Drescher 2003 (for more titles, see references at the end of our contribution). Fiehler, for example, was one of the first to deal with the manifestation, interpretation and processing of emotions; Marten-Cleef exemplifies emotions through expressive speech acts.

The problem seems to be that emotion or affectivity is difficult to define and can only be dealt with by applying an interdisciplinary or intercultural approach (see Wierzbicka 1999). It is related to the inner nature of individuals, which is difficult to research (but can be done through critical self-reflection).

Therefore scholars like Drescher 2003 have recently confined their research to the way emotional concern is represented in conversations, that is, emotion is seen as something that is socially constructed and enacted in conversation. Certain linguistic and paralinguistic means

These general reflections correspond to what Adelmann et al. (2001: 54-55) refer to as the 'narrativization' ("Narrativisierung") of events.

contribute to the affective synchronisation of the participants. These means can be studied through an interaction analysis approach.

Studies of emotion show that the expression of emotion is realized through a combination of various linguistic means. Among the means studied until now, we find, above all, interjections (see Kryk-Kastovsky 1997, Drescher 2003) and reduplications (see Drescher 2003).

We will focus on the whole variety of means present in our corpus and the way they are combined with and relate to each other. Our own findings suggest that there is a significant accumulation and overlap of such means at crucial points (in our corpus, two such crucial points can be identified: the first images of the head-butt, and the presentation of the red card). Moreover, the expression of emotion follows a climactic pattern, with a clear hierarchy existing between the various emotive devices. What is striking here is how similar our seven versions are.

Let us now turn to the details of the linguistic means we have found in our corpus at the crucial emotional points of the Zidane incident. Each of these means has been marked in our transcription by a special colour code:

Interjections/exclamations and the corresponding intonation (red)

The most salient and perhaps most common means of expression in all seven versions are exclamations of all types: there is a great number of interjections, and a general exclamatory intonation pattern (rising, or rising-falling) can be observed in nearly all sentences; the syntax tends to be exclamatory, i.e. it is drastically reduced.¹¹

• Repetitions and parallel constructions (blue)

The second and equally salient device includes repetitions and parallel constructions, which are iconic means of intensification. These repetitions concern not only single words (Drescher's 'reduplication'), but also parts of or even whole sentences. At the climax of emotion, sentences are repeated once, twice, or even three times, while parallel constructions give a sense of accumulation.

• Direct address to Zidane (green)

One more means that can be found in various versions is when the protagonist, i.e. Zidane, is addressed directly, with the second person singular being used. This is stronger in the French version and seems to be due to the commentators' involvement or even bias. But it is encountered in other versions, too.

The most prominent football exclamation – which is not present in our corpus – is of course when a goal is celebrated. In Spanish and Latin American commentary, this often takes the form of an extreme lengthening of the vowel (see Jung in this volume): GOOOOOOL, as long as the breath lasts. (The Austrian commentator Thomas König reports that in the Argentinian radio commenting team, there is one special man whose only task is to perform this 'canto del gol'.) This is a national or culture-specific speciality, which shows that, despite the great similarities in expressing emotions, there is still room for national styles. See also the contributions by Gerhardt and Theodoropoulou in this volume.

• Rhetorical questions (purple)

Another means strongly related to exclamations as well as to direct addresses is the use of rhetorical questions (see the fictitious quotation in our title).

• Pauses/silence (speechlessness) (turquoise).

Particularly striking in the French version is the speechlessness of the commentators. Although there are three commentators, when the incredible incident happens, all of them seem to be at a loss for words. Long pauses of 2–3 seconds occur, not once, but several times. This is something that distinguishes the French version from the other ones, where pauses also occur, but less frequently and they are dependent on the number of commentators. In general, the 'speechless' form of silence occurs in versions with more than one commentator, where it is especially conspicuous as pauses normally do not occur in this context. The context of the speechless of

The use of the colour code allows us to dispense with long multi-version examples in our main text and to refer the reader directly to the multilingual transcription sheet (see appendix). However, there is one problem with this kind of representation, which is at the same time one of our main findings. Let us look at the transcription of the crucial moment in the French version:

```
    TG: Ouhh! Ouhh! Zinédine! Oh! Zinédine! Pas ça, Zinédine!
[...] Pas ça, Zinédine, oh non! Oh non pas ça!
(Pause 1')
Pas aujourd'hui, pas maintenant, pas après tout ce que tu as fait!
(Pause 2'')
Aïeaïeaïeaïeaïeaïeaïe!
(Pause 3'')
```

In this whole passage (as in many others), it is almost impossible to assign one particular colour to each of the elements, as most of them would have to be assigned two or three colours at the same time. The first and the second lines contain exclamation/interjections, repetition, and a direct address to Zidane; the fourth line is exclamation, repetition/parallel construction, direct address, and the beginning of reflection, with a contrast being established between now (the incident) and then (his whole career); at the end comes one more exclamation/interjection with repetition. Note also the long pauses in-between.

This is characteristic of the core moments of emotionality, where all or nearly all possible means of expressing emotion are present simultaneously; they overlap and accumulate in one and the same sentence, or even on one and the same word – while inbetween, in the less dramatic moments, colour assignment is easier; this means that between the peaks the emotional means occur in a more isolated way and can thus be

For literature about silence and pauses in conversation, see quote Philips 1995, Ghita 1997, Kurzon 1998, Müller/Ingwer 1999, Yakovleva 2004 and Stadler 2007.

RAI commentator Marco Civoli explained to us that, if in an Italian commentary a longer pause occurs, the audience will immediately think that something must be wrong.

more easily separated. Our transcription shows further that some devices (interjections/exclamations, direct addresses, pauses) tend to occur only at the climax of emotion, while others (repetition, reflection) can be found also in passages where the emotional aspect is weaker and the density of emotional expression not as great. The strongest emotional level seems to be expressed especially through long pauses of speechlessness, as found mainly in the French version.

The following may be concluded from our investigation:

- The expression of emotions follows a climactic pattern, with peaks, valleys and intermediate levels.
- The expression of emotions is cumulative and overlapping, i.e., different emotive devices occur together at emotional peaks. When emotion grows particularly strong, these devices converge on the same linguistic elements.
- There is a hierarchy of emotion signals, some of them (exclamations, interjections, direct addresses) occurring more at peaks and at extremes (speechless silence!), with others (repetitions, parallel constructions) not only at high points, but also at low ones. Reflection, on the other hand, seems to be rather characteristic of slopes, i.e. falling moments of emotion.
- Drawing on this idea of hierarchy and accumulation, we are now able, by analysing the linguistic means described, to **take the temperature** so to speak **of a given utterance**. We can thus compare the emotionality of different moments in a comment or of different versions of it, and in general of any moment in a communicative event. This confirms Drescher's (2003) approach of studying emotions through their linguistic expression.
- Coming back to our first and initial research question, the one about cross-cultural
 differences, we can now claim that linguistic devices of emotionality are similar
 in the six languages and seven cultures studied, and that their hierarchy as well as
 their use of accumulation and climax does not show any significant differences in
 the various versions of our corpus.
- As for partiality or bias, it can only be found in the French version in the form of
 intensification of emotion and involvement, shown mostly through long pauses
 and an even stronger and more intense use of all the means described. The other
 versions, including the Italian one, are fairly similar.

In conclusion, we would like to ask what it is that is being enacted through the use of the linguistic and prosodic means described? If emotion is a socially constructed category, what is it that is being constructed in our seven commentaries? What is it that commentators enact in order to share with their audience?

The first answer might be: shock and dismay, which is the basic reaction in our seven versions. But how are shock and dismay enacted? Looking at the linguistic means involved, we follow the well-known idea that emotion leads to a loss of control, to an involuntary outcry, where the use of language is strongly affected. Interjections are the simplest form of expression. Exclamatory sentences tend to have very simple syntax, while repetitions and parallel constructions minimize the need for elaboration. Rationality, elaboration, syntax are all suspended when a human being is overwhelmed

by emotion.¹⁴ At the climax, language ceases to exist and is replaced by animal-like cries (*Aïeaïeaïeaïeaïeaïeaïeaïea*!) and speechless pauses as the preferred means of emotive expression.¹⁵

The means of expressing emotions in football commentary are thus surprisingly similar in the six languages and seven cultures we have studied: as illustrated by our title, the German/Austrian, English, French, Spanish, Italian and Russian commentators use repetitions/parallel constructions, interjections/exclamations, direct addresses to Zidane, as well as rhetorical questions and pauses (silence) to express the fact that they are overwhelmed by what is happening. Repetitions/parallel constructions occur throughout the episode, while interjections/exclamations, direct addresses, rhetorical questions and, above all, pauses seem to belong to a stronger register and tend to occur mostly at emotional peaks. As emotion rises, these means tend to accumulate and overlap in each single utterance. The rationalization of emotions (in our case, the construction of a tragic moment), on the other hand, tends to occur later when the emotional excitement is beginning to subside.

We can conclude that a common emotional language in European football commentary seems to exist. The ways of enacting and expressing emotions are quite similar in different cultures. This might be due to the fact that with strong emotions and their more or less involuntary expression we are touching on something that is in some way universal. Further studies of other sports and other kinds of emotional situations in other countries and cultures might show that the features we have identified in football commentary are in fact the essentials of a general human language of emotions, a kind of universal 'emotionese'.

One interesting sign of this is that commentators tend to make errors, really serious mistakes, due to their emotional involvement: one commentator constantly calls Zidane Zinidane, while another keeps referring to *Grosso* instead of *Materazzi*, and the Russian commentator says, the game has been given a 360° twist.

Adelmann et al. 2001, who have worked on the enacting of positive emotions in football reporting, dedicate a special chapter to the expression of speechlessness ("Sprachlosigkeit zur Sprache bringen", p.52). They see in "fragmentarischen Äußerungen und sprachlichen Fehlleistungen eine kanonische Form für die Sprachlosigkeit", i.e., mistakes, stammering and fragmentary sentences are standardized ways of staging an emotional peak and of expressing the experience of being overwhelmed by emotion.

Appendix: Transcriptions

Uncertainty, not knowing (indefinites, questions...): italics Mean of expression emotions:

Interjections/exclamations & intonation

Repetitions and parallel constructions

Direct addresses to Zidane Rhetorical questions Pauses/silence (speechlessness)

Rationalizing emotionality:

Constructing a tragic moment = an antithesis:

Concessive clauses, antonyms (in parallel constructions), etc.

French [TF1]: 1 main TG (Thierry Glardi) and one secondary commentator JML (Jean-Michel Larqué), plus a third one: 3 commentators	JML. Y a faure sur Materazzi, il y a quelque chose ici TG: De l'autre côté on s'est expliqué entre Trezguet et Materazzi. C'est en tout cas ce que Buffon vient dire à l'arbitre assistant. Que s'est-il passé entre Trezguet et Materazzi? JML. Gespère qu'il s'est rien passé parce qu'il s'est rien passé parce qu'il s'est rien Materazzi? TG: Otanis, cousis, ousis, ousis, ousis, ousis, ousis, ousis l'arge, le juge ne semble pas intervenir. Makelble avce Buffon, tiens,
It [RAI1]: MC (Marco Civoli), SM (Sandro Mazzola), CP (Carlo Paris): 3 commen- tators, 2 main ones (MC, SM)	C2. No, ahí pasó algo. WC. Ma e'é un giocatore azzuro a terra, t ¹ base 271 quejando Buffon de que ha habido tura agresión. Forse sullo scontro precedente (Pause 171, dovebbe trattarsi di Auterazzi. C3. Está diciendo Buffon que el linea lo Materazzi. SM. C'è Buffon che sta Materazzi. SM. C'è Buffon che sta parlando con il guardalinec perché Buffon ha visto. (Pause 171) parlando con il quandalinec perché Buffon ha visto. (C.S. No se' si Trezeguet que estaba ahi, (Pause 171) réderano naturalmente nella improposizione che cosa è successo presionando. (Pause 277) restamente. Probabilmente remonsa a ver ahi, (Pause 173) rederano naturalmente nella improposizione che cosa è successo presionando. (Pause 277) restamente. Probabilmente transca a ver ahi, (Pause 173) rederano retereza, perché se Buffon
Sp [cuatro]: CM (Carlos Martinez): 3 commentators, 1 main one (CM)	C2: No, ahi pasó algo. Yo no se está quejando Buffon de que ha habido uma agresión. No se. C3: Está diciendo Buffon que el línea lo vio. (Pause l'') CM: S1. ¿El siete. Trezeguet? C2: No se si Trezeguet que estaba ahi. (Pause greguet? Trezeguet? C2: No se si Trezeguet que estaba ahi. (Pause l'') Trezeguet? Trezeguet? Trezeguet? Trezeguet? Trezeguet? Trezeguet? Trezeguet? Trezeguet? C2: No se si Trezeguet que estaba ahi. (Pause 3'') Trezeguet? C2: No se si reseguet que estaba ahi. (Pause 3'') Trezeguet? C2: No se si viene le están presionando. (Pause 2'') Trezeguet? Trezeguet? C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C2: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C3: Al linea le estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C4: Al linea le estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C4: Al linea le estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C5: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C5: No se si viene l'estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C6: Ali. Ali linea le estaba ali. (Pause 3'') C7: Ali linea le estaba ali. (Pause 3'')
Ru [Pervyj kanal 1]: BГ (Виктор Гусев), Н. (Николай): 2 commentators	Н. Чго там случилось? Вот сейчае бежит разбираться Росси к боковому арбитру. Чип-отму догит на поле. Кипо этог. В П. Давайте посморим, что произошло. Пытается разобраться в этом произошло. Пытается арбитр. Ну. посмогрим спа-уа в догитается догитается доги за догитается догитается догитается в догитается до
Engl [BBC]: JM (John Motson), ML (Mark Lawrenson): 2 commentators	ML: Ah! ML: This is incressing. This is Trezeguet we think. Trezeguet with think. Trezeguet with Materazi, is it? MK: Yes, and the assistant referee has seen assistant referee has seen assistant referee has seen across to him. There's been an incident here, (Pause 37) I think, it's Clause 37) I think, it's Clause 37) I think a head may have gone in there, (Pause 22) I think a head may have gone in there, somewhere.
Ger/Austria [ORF]: RS (Robert Seeger): 1 commentator	Na, da bin ich jetzt natufich bei all diesen Szenen (Pause 1°) immer skeptisch. (Pause 6°) Die Italiener reklamieren beim Schiedsrichterassistenten. (Pause 2°) Was wir hrauchen, ist die Zeitluge. (Pause 1°) Zidane. (Pause 1°) Zidane. (Pause 1°) Zidane. (Pause 1°) Zidane. (Pause 1°) Zinödine Zidane. (Pause 1°) Die Jüngen er micht notwendig. (Pause 1°) Das hat er micht notwendig. (Pause 1°) Das hat er micht notwendig.
Ger/Germany [ARD]: RB (Reinhold Beckmann): 1 commentator	frgenthas ist auf der anderen Seite passiert - irgendeine Nigglichkeit, ich hoffe, nicht irgendeine Tätlichkeit. (Pause 37) Es scheint Materazzi zu sein. (Pause 47) Far Zidane da im Spiel? (Pause 87) Burfon geht jetzt auf die französischen Spieler zu, beschimpft sie. (Pause 27) Burfon geht jetzt auf die mal: Pause 680, da haben wir's noch mal: (Pause 977) zidane (Pause 277) aus ich ause (Pause 277) aus ich mal: (Pause 977) zidane (Pause 277) aus ich ause ich das nötig? Deser

JM: The assistant referee	tant	(пау за 1.9°) Н: И <i>пикто</i> не вилел	que acado de ver (1 mase 1	con Domenech, na indicato Domenech adesso MC-Adesso ce l'ha con	TG: Outh! Outh!
50	-	этот момент, потому	estar en la calle.	Makelele.	Pas ça, Zinedine!
have seen this. (Pause 6	T (0	боковой. (пауза 4.5°)	CM:esta – deberia ya de estar en la calle.	Rivediamo. Zidane.	JML: Pas du tout avec Trezeguet
2)	В	ВГ: Так, это он так	CM: Y si no lo ha visto	SM: E sta parlando con Zidane.	TG: Pas ça. Zinedine, oh
	dg E	врезал Матерации, оа?	Materazzi, Zidane se va a despedir de un	MC: Materazzi – uuh!	non! Oh non pas ça! (Paus,
	H	Н: Да, но чтобы он	campeonato del mundo	(Pause 0.5") Questo è un esto considerato	Pas aujourd'hui, pas
was sent off in the 1998	Tal	Гакое сделал, это было	con alguna de las cosas	SM: Strano.	maintenant, pas apres tout ec
	(Ha	пауза (1,911) э-э-э	largo de su carrera. A	MC: È un gesto	(Pause 2")
	СКАЗ	эказать	veces le ha pasado esto.	Sconsiderato. SM: Zidane deve aver	Aicaicaicaicaicaic !
	12	ы закое ощущение,	Ha perdido el control.	perso la testa. La	(Pause 3")
ML: He's off, (Pause 119M)	TOMP	что лучше всех – ну, помимо Матепанни и	Y la verdad es que yo	stanchezza. Perché uno	JML: Je pensais que c'était
>	само	самого Зидана - всё это	porque me parece que	come fur non pao fare una	coun hen pas du tout Parce
t. [It's	виде	видел Буффон, и	no merece Zinédine	cosa simile.	one c'était
luct.	Буфф	Буффон пытается	Zidane. A veces le ha	MC: E un gesto	TG: Oh non, Zinedine !
_	Восст	восстановить	pasado.	sconsiderato da parte di un	(Pause 3'')
JM: He's already served cnpar	справ	справедливость, и	Pero no merece	grande campione. (Pause	JML: C'est très très très
	Зидан	Зидан прекрасно	Zinedine Zidane (Pause	(6.7	très très chaud entre
World Cup for two	пони	понимает, что он	1") irse de un	La testata di Zidane sul	Materazzi, Raymond
	винов	SHHOBAT (Hay of U.S.)	campconato, (Pause 1")	petto di Materazzi. Una	Domenech
-	Вым	Вы можете себе	Digo que no lo merece.	testata violenta che gli	C3: et entre Didier, et entre
_	предс	гредставить, Николай и	A ver. Me explico. Lo	sarebbe costata I espulsione	Didier et Domenech
alties and he	пород	цорогие друзья, ведь	merece porque eso es	Illimiculata, (Faux -	Ils essaient de faire interven
	сейча	сейчас (пау за 0,011)	una agresión. Y ademas	E et displace ene lemini	le quatrième arbitre sur la
	apor	арбитру придется	sin ninguna(Pausc	sua camicra, se davvero la	télé.
	VIIII	глитть Эпислина	[] no hay no hay	terminera stasera.	Non mais ça se fait pas, ça
at	SHLIM	Малина, но он не	pelota en juego no hay	finale rimarra anche questa	peut pas se faire comme
-	посме	тосмеет, он не	nada.	mass inments and a second	TG: Ça peut pas
has come tearing out of H-Ta	H-Ta	Посмест	Pero por que le pasan	SM: Questa è una macchia.	Il sort un carton, il sort un
_	репут	репутация, что даже	veces? Y nor one le	E una macchia per	Cost carton rouge ob non
	если	ести итальянцы не	pasa hov? Que le pase	qualunque grocaforema	(Pars. 1
	набро	набросились бы на	cualquier dia. Pero me	sopraffutto per un	Et voilà ce que je redoutais
_	Зидан	Зидана и не пытаются	parece que	campione.	c'est enouvantable. Parse
_	ero ce	его сейчас разорвать в	CM: Lo llama, lo llama	MC: E pensate che i fischi	
hey	KTO4B	ж	el linea.	da parte naturalmente dei	c'est pas possible. Paus
Q	BF: 3	ВГ: Это не удаление	C3: El linea le esta	tanti sostenitori francesi	
give	Руни,	Руни, это не удаление	flamando. (Pause 111) El	sono indirizzati a	JML: Il n'v a même pas lieu
	Руни	Руни. хотя, вы знаете,	linea le está llamando a	Materazzi. (Pause 2)	de contestation.
	OTC 1	это похоже на то, что	Efizondo, (Pause 1.5")	SM: E adesso sono	TG: Mais non, on peut pas
Buffon, the referee's сдела	сдела	сделал Руни,	CM: El linea le esta	manuscan anone da parte	contester, on peut pas

kein Verständnis für	Zidane muss hinaus und	assistant, they've seen it,	единственное, что Руни	llamando a Elizondo, y	dei sostenitori italiani a	contester. Sans (Pause 17)
(Pause 5") Ein	beendet mit roter Karte	so they're incensed, but	бил ногой и в другос	Zidane se va a ir. (Pause	Domenech che sta	Même s'il s'est passe des
unwürdiges Ende, kem	seine Karnere, (Pause	the officials haven't, so	место. Смотрим! плал за	(1,5") Se va a ir. (Pause	insultando - non, non sento	choses avant. (Panse 17)
krönendes Ende, ein		they can't give it (Pause	і.8. Потрясающий	Se va a ir porque	le parole - ma sta	Zinédine ne doit pas
unwürdiges Ende, (Pause	Korrekt gesehen vom	1	(Hay to L.3")	evidentemente si le ha	insultando anche con i gesti	répondre, ne doit pas mettre
	Schiedsrichterassistenten.	JM: Lippi has come	потрясающий финал!	visto no puede	Buffon.	ce coup de tête. (Pause 277)
Okay, er wird da ein	(Pause 111) Tadellos	down the line, and is	Зинедин Зидан	interpretar amarilla.	MC: Io ricordo Domenech	C'est pas vrai, c'est son
bisschen gekniffen,	gemacht. Zugewartet	being restrained by the	удаляется с поля прамы	(Pause 1") Se lo acaba	alla guida dell'Under 21.	derniser match; c'est la finale
gehalten von Materazzi.	Achtung! (Pause 311)	fourth official (Pause 17)	II.T. I B CBOČM	de decir, Zidane va a ser	Delle battaglie epiche.	de la coupe du monde.
Sie reden. Beide sind des	Die ganze Vorgeschichte.	there's a push and a	последнем матче,	expulsado. (Pause 111)	MC: Cartellino rosso.	(Paulse 673)
Italienischen mächtig.	Da war es noch gar mx.	shove there on the Italian	последнем матче в	¡Qué lástima! ¡Qué	SM: Espulsione!	C'est pas possible.
So, es geht weiter.	(Pause ST) Da war amal	coach, or by the Italian	своей жизни.	tristeza de verdad!	MC: Rosso! Rosso per	Ah non non non non!
Materazzi scheint da ein	überhaupt nix. So.	coach (Pause 4") and the	Иронически	Zidane se va a marchar	Zidane! (Pause 211) E qui è	(Pause 377)
paar nette (Pause 177)	Achtung, und jetzt	referee has gone across	аплодирует арбитру	del partido. (Pause 211)	intervenuta la tecnologia.	Sur l'image qu'on a vue on
und nicht so feine Worte	kommt's. So. Kopfstoß	now, with his hand in his	Раймонд Доменек	Zidane se va a marchar	SM: Sicuramente. Ma è	peut pas reprocher à l'arbitre
gefunden zu haben. Und	von Zidane.	pocket	(Hay 3a 0.2") A 4mo?	del partido. (Pause	probabilmente anche il	argentin de sortir le carton
dann rastet er aus. Da	Also was in [sic] da in	JM: he's been told about	Всё совершенно	1.5") Se va a quedar	guardalinee di destra che	rouge évidemment.
knallen die Synapsen in	diesen großen Fußballer	it	правильно. Веё	con diez. (Pause 2")	aveva tutto il campo per	(Pause 2")
die falsche Richtung.	gefahren ist? Ehrlich	It's off. It's red. It's	совершенно правильно.	Pero sobre todo no es	poterlo vedere, a mio	Mais c'est terrible.
(Pause 4")	gestanden, da verstehe	Zidane (Pause 211) and	это правильно по-	que se quede con dicz,	avviso.	(Pause 3")
Mann, Zidane, (Pause	ich ihn nicht. (Pause 2**)	the assistant referee	футбольному. Нам	sobre todo es que	MC: Secondo me è	C'est terrible, (Pause 1,511)
1") du kennst das	Mit Rot muss er vom	and the fourth official	очень понравился на	Zidane va a tener que	intervenuta la tecnologia	On était dans un conte de
Geschäft seit so vielen.	Platz, (Pause 311) Ein	saw something, (there	этом чемпионате	recordar este ultimo	(Pause 2"), non e'e, non	fees jusque-la.
Jahren.	Kapitan (Pause 2"), der	was) a bit of delay.	Зинедин Зидан, мы им	minuto de su carrera	c'è altro. Altrimenti ci	JML: Oh, ce qui s'est passé
(Pause 2.5")	eine Unsportlichkeit	Domenech (Pause 211)	восхищались, но	deportiva de una manera	sarebbe stata(Pause [")	avant, hein
Lasst sich verbal ein	begangen hat, die ich	is sarcastically	футбол есть футбол.	tremendamente amarga.	ci sarebbe stato il	TG: Et voilà, ça
bisschen provozieren,	nicht nachvollziehen	applanding (Pause 2")	правила (/-о?) есть	Como lo vamos a	provvedimento immediato.	JML: J'sais pas, on n'a pas
und da knallt die	kann. In emer Phase, in	ML: You can't argue	правила (/-о?). И,	recordar todos porque	MC: E comunque e un atto	vu, mais(Pause 1.5.1)
Sicherung durch, (Pause	der seine Mannschaft	with that	наверное, если оы	(Pause) tantos anos	di doverosa giustizia.	IG: Mais quoi qu'il arrive, il
4) Eine große Fußball-	dem Erfolg deutlich	JM: The referee,	сеичас аргентинскии	de grandeza de Zmedine	(Pause 1) C1 dispiace per	ne doit pas repondre, Jean-
Kamere (Pause 1 gent	naner war. Aber	belatedly, the man who	аронтр простил эту	Zidane en un ferreno de	un campione come Aidane.	Michel
So unruminion za enac.	Schioderichteressistenten	is about to sand off	Зимин минетину	lucgo, es una aosoluta	Cur ecco venianto anene	JML: Absolument non
Barthez ist ietzt der	- er hat zugewartet er	Zinedine Zidane (Panse	зидану, мы всегда ов	astima que se vayan	onesto Materazzi colloquia	Clause V. Aucune (Pause
Kanitan aber das ist nur	hat alles gesehen. Der	27.1	Inciliante 3179 c	Evidentemente le había	con Zidane, confabula	affennante
eine kleine Formalie.	Schiedsrichter ist dann	ML: In terms of the	большим футболом вот	agarrado ahí pero eso no	qualche parola, si ferma	TG: Alealealealealeale
(Pause 17) Wird die	herausgegangen	decision, as long as	с такой оговоркой.	es nada.	Materazzi, gli dice	(Pause 2.1)
französische Mannschaft	da gab's noch Gelb für	they've seen it, it is	(пауза 1.5") Сейчас	C2: Es que de vez en	qualcosa, e questa è la	TG: Et c'est la demière fois,
(Pause 2") diesen	Malouda, (Pause 1011)	impossible to argue	оговорки не будет ну и	cuando yo me	reazione di Zidane. (Pause	et c'est la demière fois, il
Verlust (Pause 177), ja	Egal, was	against it.	на поле больше не	acuerdo de una jugada	L'espulsione di Zidane	nous a fait fant rever, (Pause
diesen Schock (Pause	möglicherweise verbal	This is the incident.	будет Зинедина Зидана.	parecida una vez en el	è netta. Ma Elizondo ci ha	0.5") if nous a donne fant de
2), jetzt hier verknusen	Grosso [sic] Zidane	(Pause '') Materazzi	ВГ: Да! Мы видели, что	mundial de 1998 contra	messo un bel po'.	bonhear!
konnen? (Pause 5.)	gesagt habe - diese	had an arm around	матерацци что-то	Arabia Saudi. En un	Kicordiamo che Elizondo	(Pause 211)
Majouda hat gemeckert,	Unsporthenkeit	Zidane there (Pause	быть он что-то сказат	CM: 4/eo le dice	aveva espuiso anche	Ah, c'est à pleurer! (Pause
hekommen	So damit Frankreich zu	each other (Pause 311)	по поволу ухода	Materazzi, Algo le dice	Inghilterra/Portogallo	(C) C est a pieurer!
Ockollanca.	30, danner ranneren zu	cach cance at access	no nervaj javan		Ingiliteria/1 offogano.	

Qui, secondo me, è	intervenuta la tecnologia.	SM: Si, perche l'arbitro	stava correndo verso la	parte opposta e quindi non	poteva vederla. O è la	tecnologia o il guardalinee,	a mio modo di vedere.	MC: È chiaro che la	tecnologia c'entra. C'entra	probabilmente anche	Medina Cantalejo. Ma è	chiaro che il supporto delle	immagini c'è stato.	SM: Ah beh sicuramente,	eh!	CP: Marco	MC: Si. Carlo	CP: Mi sembra che sia	state ammonite anche	Malonda (Pance 1717)	stato un cartellino giallo	qualche istante fa proprio.	MC: Grazie Carlo, preziosa	questa tua precisazione.	MC: Francia in dieci	uomini. (Pause 1") Una	macchia enorme sulla	carriera di Zidane, (Pause		SM : Si. Incomprensibile.												
Materazzi. Pero da	igual.	C3:Es una	CM: Da Igual.	C3: Es una lastima. No,	no hay justificación.	Porque por mas que,	diga lo que le diga	Materazzi me parece	que(Pause 1")	Pero(Pause 2")	Y además que le pase a	Zidane. Que le	desequilibre alguien	como Materazzi. Es el	mundo al revés.	C3: Es verdad. Es	verdad, ¿eh? (Pause 111)	Materazzi tiene que	pegarle una natada a	Zidane norane nasa de	largo, (Pause 111)	pierde, pierde el partido	la gran figura, y si este	era suyo el partido. Se	hubiese llevado la copa	del mundo, hubicse	pateado el penal	seguramente. Otro penal	mas con la categoria de	Cadane, (Pause 2)	final.	CM: Y ahora Francia	además se puede venir	abajo también. Pierde	un hombre para lanzar	un penalti de los	mejores que tenía	Francia. Esto sí que	es Además de hacerse	ил сайо а ягтиято, ha	heche un dañe al equipo	Tremendo (Panse
Зинедина Зидана из	ослышого футбола,	может оыть, это оыло	очень и очень обидно.	но судья не слышал	слов. Он, сооственно, и	не видел поступка	Зидана. Ему	подсказали. Но	подсказал боковой	арбитр, которому, в	свою очередь,	подсказал Буффон.	Одним словом,	Зинедин Зидан (па) за	0.8° не доигрывает	свой последний матч и	удаляется с поля в	дополнительное время.	Н: Но здесь, конечно.	неправ Матерации: он	спровоцировал э-э-	э Зидана, и (пал па	1.5" здесь, конечно.	трудно принять	сторону и Зидана, в том	числе, как он	огреатировал	Бозможно, что это за	Wylou.	O.S. Mariyaa norwact	жёлтую карточку, я	думаю, что это за	разговоры, наверное, за	апелляцию к арбитру,	собственно, это уже не	так важно, потому что	главное, что с поля	удалён Зидан. И это,	Николай, я думаю,	может сепчас изменить	картину матча на	Thera meets record
why did this go on so	long:	ML. well, I mink words	wele poviously said,	obviously Materazzi	said something there	JM: r ou can t excuse	that, (Pause 3.)	Zidane's career ends in	disgrace, (Pause 311)	ML: You can't boo the	referee	JM: The fourth man to be	sent off in a World Cup	Final (Pause 111) two	Argentines in 1990, and	Desailly - of all people -	in '98	and Zidane goes (Pause	France will play the	remainder with ten men	(Pause 2")	ML: Well, there's no	doubt that Materazzi said	somethingand, just as	he turned his back, it was	obvious he saidas to	what it was, we can only	Speculate.	here for Malenda 1	think. Somebody else got	a yellow there in the	fracas, in the argument. I	think it's Malouda.	(Pause 5") Well, the	World Cup Final	explodes.	The man who dismissed	Wayne Rooney for the	step (Pause 21)	dismisses Zinedine	Zidane.	
zehnt. Zu spielen noch	achteinnaib Minuten.																																									
Wir wissen nicht –	de ine Ohr cofficted hat	aber das ist schmirzagal	and the somming sur.																																							

pues a ver lo que le pasa al partido.																													
может сесть на лавку н руководить э-э-э	скамейки запасных.	BF: Cramion ryant	Нам э-э-э Мы	слышим этот гул	видите И опять же	Виталий / видите -	неразборчиво), если бы	я был единственным	арбитром, здесь можно	было разобраться,	конечно, с поступком	Матерации.	Н: Виктор, честно	говоря, я в шоке от	такой концовки, и	просто нет слов.	ВГ: Но это футбол. Мы	говорим о том, что	матч, в отличие от	стартовых составов	команд, может быть	непредсказуемым, н	желали этому матчу	непредсказуемости. Но,	я думаю, не такой	непредсказуемости, Я	JYMAT, 9TO MB HWCJH	ввиду совершенно	другос.
																													-

References

Adelmann, Ralf / Keilbach, Judith / Stauff, Markus (2001): "Soviel Gefühle kann's nicht geben!" Typisierung des Feierns und Jubelns im Fernsehsport. In: montage/av. Zeitschrift für Theorie und Geschichte audiovisueller Kommunikation 10/2, 43–57

Battacchi, Marco W. / Suslow, Thomas / Renna, Margherita (1997): Emotion und Sprache. Frankfurt a.M.

et al.: Peter Lang

Doleschal, Ursula / Hoffmann, Edgar / Reuther, Tilmann (eds.) (2007): Sprache und Diskurs in Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft. Slawistische Perspektiven. München: Otto Sagner

Eggert, Hartmut / Golec, Janusz (eds.) (1999): "...wortlos der Sprache mächtig". Schweigen und Sprechen in der Literatur und sprachlicher Kommunikation. Stuttgart / Weimar: Metzler

Fiehler, Reinhard (1990): Kommunikation und Emotion. Theoretische und empirische Untersuchungen zur Rolle von Emotionen in der verbalen Interaktion, Berlin: de Gruyter

Drescher, Martina (1997): French interjections and their use in discourse. In: Niemeyer/Dirven, 233-246

Drescher, Martina (2003): Sprachliche Affektivität. Darstellung emotionaler Beteiligung am Beispiel von Gesprächen aus dem Französischen, Tübingen: Niemeyer

(+ reviews by Arnulf Deppermann in: Gesprächsforschung 5 (2004), 84–90

and by Eva Lavric in: Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie 121 (2005) 4, 663-665)

Ghita, Andrea (1997): Pragmatic aspects of silence. In: Pietri, 377-388

Günthner, Susanne (1997): The contextualization of affect in reported dialogues. In: Niemeyer/Dirven, 247–276

Günthner, Susanne (2000): Vorwurfsaktivitäten in der Alltagsinteraktion. Grammatische, prosodische, rhetorisch-stilistische und interaktive Verfahren bei der Konstitution kommunikativer Muster und Gattungen, Tübingen: Niemeyer

Kryk-Kastovsky, Barbara (1997): Surprise, surprise: The iconicity-conventionality scale of emotions. In: Niemeyer/Dirven (eds.) 155–169

Kurzon, Dennis (1998): Discourse of silence. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins

Marten-Cleef, Susanne (1991): Gefühle ausdrücken. Die expressiven Sprechakte. Göppingen: Kümmerle Müller, Cornelia / Ingwer, Paul (1999): Gestikulieren in Sprechpausen. In: Eggert/Golec, 265–282

Niemeyer, Susanne / Dirven, René (eds.) (1997): The language of emotions. Conceptualization, expression, and theoretical foundation, Amsterdam et al.: Benjamins

Philips, Susan U. (1995): Interaction structured through talk and interaction structured through 'silence'. In: Tannen / Saville-Troike, 205–213

Pietri, Etienne (ed.) (2005): Dialoganalyse V. Referate der 5. Arbeitstagung Paris 1994. Tübingen: Niemever

Šaronov, I.A. (ed.) (2005): Ėmocii v jazyke i reči. Moskva: RGGU

Stadler, W. (2007a): Prolegomena zu einer Pragmatik des Schweigens. In: Doleschal et al., 283-297

Tannen, Deborah / Saville-Troike, Muriel (eds.) (1995): Perspectives on silence. Norwood / New Jersey:
Ablex

Wierzbicka, Anna (1999): Emotions across languages and cultures. Diversity and universals. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Yakovleva, Elena (2004): Deutsche und russische Gespräche. Ein Beitrag zur interkulturellen Pragmatik. Tübingen: Niemeyer

The Innsbruck Football Research Group

Eva Lavric, Gerhard Pisek, Andrew Skinner, Wolfgang Stadler, Erika Giorgianni

Philologisch-Kulturwissenschaftliche Fakultät

Universität Innsbruck

Innrain 52

A-6020 Innsbruck

e-mails: eva.lavric@uibk.ac.at, gerhard.pisek@uibk.ac.at, andrew.skinner@uibk.ac.at, wolfgang.stadler@uibk.ac.at, romy95@libero.it

http://www.uibk.ac.at/msp/aktuelles/sprache fussball/

6. FOOTBALL AND MULTILINGUALISM